Effects of mildly heated, slightly acidic electrolyzed water on the disinfection and physicochemical properties of sliced carrot
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Abstract

The efficacy of mildly heated, slightly acidic electrolyzed water (mildly heated SIAEW) at 45°C for disinfection and maintenance of sliced carrot quality was studied. Mildly heated SIAEW (23 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 5.5) was used to treat the carrots, followed by
rinsing with tap water (TW) for 2 min at 4°C, and its effectiveness as a disinfectant was evaluated. The physicochemical properties of the carrots were determined and a comparison was made between treatments with SIAEW at room temperature (18°C), TW at 18°C and mildly heated TW at 45°C. Results show that total aerobic bacteria, mold and yeast populations were significantly lower after mildly heated SIAEW treatment. Mildly heated SIAEW treatment reduced the total aerobic bacteria by $2.2 \log_{10} \text{CFU/g}$ and molds and yeasts by $>1.9 \log_{10} \text{CFU/g}$ compared with TW treatment. Color indices of hue and chroma of sample surfaces were not affected by mildly heated SIAEW treatment and there were insignificant differences in hardness or the ascorbic acid and $\beta$-carotene contents of sliced carrots. The use of mildly heated SIAEW is suggested as an effective disinfection method for fresh cut carrots with low available chlorine.
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**1. Introduction**

There is high consumer demand for fresh fruit and vegetables that exhibit high quality and microbial safety. Thus, sanitization of fresh fruit and vegetables is desirable to control spoilage bacteria and fungi to extend shelf life and decontaminate pathogenic organisms for food safety (McKellar et al., 2004; Qiang, Demirkol, Ercal, & Adams, 2005). The
disinfectants commonly studied and/or used in the food industry include ozone (either
gaseous- or aqueous-phase), free chlorine (HOCl/OCl\(^{-}\)) and hydrogen peroxide. Chlorine
disinfection has been extensively applied in the harvest and postharvest handling of fresh fruit
and vegetables for many decades because it is effective, chemically stable, readily available,
relatively inexpensive and easily applied. Although chlorine is the most commonly used
sanitizer, it is inactivated by organic material and can lead to the formation of potentially
carcinogenic and teratogenic trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids. Therefore, many
alternative sanitation agents have been developed and their disinfection abilities evaluated for
the food industry (Keskinen, Burkea, & Annous, 2009).

Recently, a new concept involving chlorine water, named “acidic electrolyzed water”
(AEW or AcEW) or “slightly acidic electrolyzed water” (SIAEW or SAEW), has been
increasingly used for the disinfection of fresh vegetables and fruit because it is easy to
produce continuously through electrolysis using commercial equipment. During the past
decade, many reports have indicated that AEW could be used as a postharvest food
disinfectant (Huang, Hung, Hsu, Huang, & Hwang, 2008; Koseki, & Itoh, 2000). At a pH of
5.0–6.5, the effective form of the chlorine in SIAEW is mainly hypochlorous acid (HOCl)
(Okamoto et al., 2006). SIAEW has the advantage of possessing antimicrobial activity with
low available chlorine (Koide, Takeda, Shi, Shono, & Atungulu, 2009; Rahman, Ding, & Oh,
2010), resulting in reduced corrosion of surfaces and minimization of the potential for damage
to human health and the environment. Therefore, there is growing interest in new applications
for the bactericidal activity of SIAEW in the food industry (Soli et al., 2010).

To date, it has been presumed that combining warm water treatment with chlorine would
reduce the microbial load more effectively than using cold water (Delaquis, Stewart, Toivonen,
for treating vegetables with mildly heated alkaline electrolyzed water (50°C) for 5 min and
subsequent washing with chilled acidic electrolyzed water (4°C) for a period of 1 or 5 min resulted in 3–4 $\log_{10}$ CFU/g reduction of pathogenic bacterial ($Escherichia coli$ O157:H7 and $Salmonella$) counts on lettuce. Wei, Brandt, Wolf, and Hammes (2005) showed that an acidified warm water treatment at 50°C and pH 4.93 reduced the total bacteria by 2.3 $\log_{10}$ CFU/g, and $Enterobacteriaceae$ by 2.53 $\log_{10}$ CFU/g on cut iceberg lettuce after washing. Recently, an evaluation has been carried out on SIAEW disinfection at different temperatures (4, 20 and 45°C) for inactivation of $Salmonella enteritidis$ on the surface of egg shells (Cao, Wei, Zheng, Shi, Wang, & Li, 2009). However, no information is available on the efficacy of warm SIAEW on fruit and vegetables.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of mildly heated SIAEW at 45°C in the disinfection of sliced carrots and the maintenance of physicochemical quality compared with SIAEW (18°C) and tap water (TW) (18 and 45°C) treatments. The results will provide the basic information on both disinfection ability and maintenance of quality for fresh fruit and vegetables that is needed to improve the use of SIAEW in practice.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample preparation

Carrots used in this study were purchased from a local supermarket in Morioka, Japan, and stored at 5°C in an incubator before the experiment. The central part of each carrot was cut into round slices in quarters with a width of about 5 mm before treatment.

2.2. Disinfection treatment
SIAEW was prepared using a flow type electrolysis apparatus (Purester, Morinaga Engineering Co., Ltd., Japan), as described in a previous study (Koide et al., 2009), and TW was used as a control. Each solution of SIAEW and TW was stored in polypropylene containers (220×345×135 mm) and the temperature immediately controlled at 18 and 45°C in a water bath. Immersion of sliced carrots in TW at 18°C and 45°C and SIAEW solution at 18°C and 45°C are expressed as TW treatment, mildly heated TW treatment, SIAEW treatment and mildly heated SIAEW treatment, respectively. A 200 g sample of fresh sliced carrots was dipped into each solution for 10 min and then all samples were rinsed in cold TW at 4°C stored in polypropylene containers (220×345×135 mm) for 2 min.

The pH of each solution was measured with a pH meter (MP-220, Mettler, Germany) and the initial concentration of available chlorine in each solution at 18°C was determined by chlorine test kits (AQ-102, Shibata Co. Ltd., Japan). The pH values of TW and SIAEW were 7.0±0.1 and 5.5±0.1, respectively. The values of available chlorine in TW and SIAEW were 0.4 and 23.0±1.2 mg/L, respectively.

2.3. Determination of physicochemical properties

The physicochemical properties determined were the color, hardness, ascorbic acid content and β-carotene content of sliced carrots before and after treatment.

2.3.1. Surface color

The $L^*$ (lightness), $a^*$ (redness-greenness) and $b^*$ (yellowness-blueness) indices of the CIELAB colorimetric system were used to evaluate the color change of the sliced carrot samples. $L^*$, $a^*$ and $b^*$ were first measured using a colorimeter (Nippon Denshoku NF-333, Japan) at three different spots on the surface of at least six samples before and after each treatment.
Chroma ($C$), Hue angle ($H^\circ$) and the change in the surface color of the sample (total color difference, $\Delta E$), were calculated from the following formulae.

\begin{equation}
C = \left[ a^* + b^* \right]^{1/2}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
H^\circ = \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{b^*}{a^*} \right)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Delta E = \sqrt{\left( L^* - L^*_{0} \right)^2 + \left( a^* - a^*_{0} \right)^2 + \left( b^* - b^*_{0} \right)^2}
\end{equation}

where, $L^*_{0}$, $a^*_{0}$ and $b^*_{0}$ were the initial colorimeter values of the sample.

2.3.2. Hardness

Hardness was measured using a hand-operated penetrometer (Ebara, KM Type, Japan). A 10 mm diameter cone probe with a height of 12 mm was pressed vertically against the surface of the sliced carrots and hardness recorded in kg.

2.3.3. Ascorbic acid content

Ascorbic acid content in each sample was determined by a method described previously (Koide & Shi, 2007; Takebe & Yoneyama, 1995) with slight modification. The carrot sample was weighed, homogenized with 5% meta-phosphoric acid (HPO$_3$), and the exudate was immediately used for the determination of the ascorbic acid content using a reflection photometer (Merck, RQflex, Germany). The ascorbic acid values were expressed in mg/100g fresh weight.

2.3.4. β-carotene content

β-carotene content in each sample was determined using the method described by Nagata, Noguchi, Ito, Imanishi, and Sugiyama (2007). The carrot sample was weighed, homogenized with acetone, and the slurry filtered and centrifuged twice for 10 min at 12,500×g.
resultant supernatant was used to measure absorbance at 443, 492 and 505 nm by a spectrophotometer (Jasco V-530, Japan). The β-carotene content was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\beta\text{-carotene (mg/L)} = -1.488A_{443} + 4.844A_{492} - 2.352A_{505} + 0.098 \tag{4}
\]

where \(A_{443}, A_{492}\) and \(A_{505}\) indicate absorbances at 443, 492 and 505 nm, respectively. β-carotene content was expressed in mg/100g fresh weight.

2.4. Microbiological analysis

To enumerate the microorganisms, 20 g of each fresh carrot sample was mixed with 180 mL of sterile 0.85% sodium chloride solution in a sterile polyethylene bag, and pummeled with a stomacher (Seward Stomacher 400, UK) for 2 min at high speed. The aliquot was used for various serial dilutions. The diluted samples were analyzed for the populations of total aerobic bacteria, molds and yeasts by using the method of Mise and Inoue (1996). Total aerobic bacteria were enumerated on an agar plate of the following composition (g/L): Yeast extract (Difco Laboratories, USA), 2.5; tryptone (Difco Laboratories), 5.0; glucose (Wako, Japan), 1.0; agar (Difco Laboratories), 15.0. The plates were incubated at 35°C for 48 h and the colonies counted. Molds and yeasts were enumerated on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates with 0.1 g/L chloramphenicol (Nissui, Japan). The plates were incubated at 25°C for 5 days and the colonies of molds and yeasts were counted and expressed as \(\log_{10} \text{CFU/g}\).

2.5. Statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out at least five times each in duplicates or triplicates. Data were expressed as the mean ± standard error. The results were statistically evaluated using a
Tukey’s test and the significance of difference was defined as $P < 0.05$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Changes in microbial population

The microbial populations of total aerobic bacteria in carrot samples after treatment with TW, mildly heated TW, SIAEW and mildly heated SIAEW are shown in Table 1. These show that the total aerobic bacteria population was highest after TW treatment, followed by SIAEW treatment and mildly heated TW treatment, while the lowest population occurred after mildly heated SIAEW treatment. Among the treatments, there was significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between mildly heated SIAEW treatment and all the other treatments. It was found that mildly heated SIAEW reduced the total aerobic bacterial population significantly ($P < 0.05$) relative to TW and SIAEW treatments, by about $2.2$ and $1.6 \log_{10}$ CFU/g, respectively. The mold and yeast populations showed similar results to those of total aerobic bacteria. It was found that mildly heated SIAEW treatment reduced the populations of molds and yeasts significantly ($P < 0.05$) relative to TW and SIAEW treatments, by $>1.9$ and $>1.3 \log_{10}$ CFU/g, respectively. The reduction rate in total aerobic bacteria between SIAEW and TW treatments agrees with previous reports on disinfection test using electrolyzed water. Izumi (1999) showed that the total microbial count of carrot slices (35-40 mm diameter and 3 mm thick) treated with electrolyzed water (pH 6.8) containing 50 mg/L chlorine for 3 min, followed by rinsing with TW for 1 min, was reduced by $1.1 \log_{10}$ CFU/g on the surface and $1.1 \log_{10}$ CFU/g in the macerate of the sample, compared with TW treatment. Koseki & Itoh (2000) reported that total microbial count of thin strips of carrot (2-3 mm thick) treated with AEW (pH 2.4) containing 45.3 mg/L chlorine for 5 min was reduced by $1.4 \log_{10}$ CFU/g, compared with TW.
treatment. In this study, reduction in the total aerobic bacteria in the carrot sample (with a width of about 5 mm) between SIAEW treatment (23 mg/L available chlorine, 18°C) and TW treatments (18°C) was as low as 0.6 log_{10} CFU/g. However, by heating the SIAEW, the reduction in the total aerobic bacteria in the carrot samples was higher compared with TW treatment. Because treatment with mildly heated SIAEW showed an effective disinfection effect higher than that with SIAEW, it would be advantageous to use mildly heated SIAEW.

The ratios of molds present on PDA plates were 1.0, 0.6, 0.6 and 0.0% in the populations of molds and yeasts for TW, mildly heated TW, SIAEW and mildly heated SIAEW, respectively (Table 1). This is the first study on the fungicidal efficacy of mildly heated SIAEW on fresh cut vegetables. Buck, van Iersel, Oetting, and Hung (2002) treated 22 fungal species with AEW in vitro and reported that germination of all 22 fungal species was significantly reduced or prevented. They found that all relatively thin-walled species (e.g. Botrytis, Monilinia) were killed by incubation times of 30 s or less. Al-Haq, Seo, Oshita, and Kawagoe (2002) reported that AEW was an effective surface sanitizer for suppressing fruit rot on pears caused by Botryosphaeria berengeriana. Furthermore, it was reported that hot water and chlorine seed treatments could eradicate or significantly reduce the incidence of a number of seedborne molds without adversely affecting seed quality (du Toit & Hernandez-Perez, 2005). Considering the above matter, mildly heated SIAEW treatment can also be applied for fungicidal control on food postharvest. However, further studies are necessary.

3.2. Changes in physicochemical properties

Changes in the surface color of carrot samples before and after treatments are shown in Table 2. Because untreated samples that have not been immersed in either tap water or SIAEW tend to change color slightly after immersion, we decided that a TW sample was the
best to use for the comparison of color changes among treated samples. As shown in Table 2, there is no significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in hue, which correlates with visual appearance, between samples treated with TW, mildly heated TW, SIAEW and mildly heated SIAEW. Moreover, there are no significant differences ($P < 0.05$) in chroma between treated samples. There was a significant difference in total color difference ($\Delta E$) between samples treated with TW and mildly heated SIAEW, compared with untreated samples. However, the difference in $\Delta E$ of samples treated with TW and mildly heated SIAEW indicated low value at 1.3, and it could be considered as unremarkable change. The obtained $\Delta E$ values in this study were almost same values as described in a previous study (Koseki & Itoh, 2001). It was reported that compared with the untreated sample, the $\Delta E$ values in strips of carrot 2-3 mm in width immersed for 10 min in AEW (42.3 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 2.5), NaOCl solution (150 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 9.3), and tap water (0.3 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 7.0) were 4.4, 4.2 and 3.9 respectively. Furthermore, Izumi (1999) found that electrolyzed water (pH 6.8) containing 50 mg/L chlorine did not affect the surface color in hue in carrot slices (35-40 mm diameter and 3 mm thick) compared with samples rinsed in tap water for 4 min. The above reports and our results, suggest that surface color changes of sliced carrots immersed in mildly heated SIAEW for 10 min followed by rinsing with tap water for 2 min at 4°C is acceptable and practical compared with TW treatment or other types of electrolyzed water.

Table 3 shows the changes in hardness, ascorbic acid content and β-carotene content of carrot samples before and after treatment. There were no significant differences in hardness between untreated and treated samples. Usually, the Young’s modulus of fresh agricultural products increases with decreasing temperature (Murata & Koide, 1994). In this study, all treated samples were subjected to disinfection treatments for 10 min followed by rinsing and cooling with cold TW at 4°C for 2 min. Because samples subjected to mildly heated TW and
mildly heated SlAEW followed by no rinse treatment tended to have a slight decrease in hardness, rinsing samples with cold water after disinfection treatment with mildly heated SlAEW would be a viable method for maintaining hardness quality.

Compared with untreated samples, reductions in the ascorbic acid content were 12.2, 16.5, 10.8 and 18.0% for TW, mildly heated TW, SlAEW and mildly heated SlAEW treatments, respectively. This result agrees with the results using other types of electrolyzed water and samples (Koseki & Itoh, 2001; Vandekinderen et al., 2009). Koseki and Itoh (2001) reported that cut vegetables subjected to immersion in AEW (42.3 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 2.5), NaOCl solution (150 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 9.3) or tap water (0.3 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 7.0) for 10 min showed 15-20% reductions in ascorbic acid content for cut cabbage, 10-15% reductions for cut lettuce and 30-35% reductions for cut cucumber. Ascorbic acid is a water soluble compound and its concentration in cut vegetables after washing tends to decrease easily due to its leaching and degradation from the cut surface. However, the loss in quality of cut vegetables treated with strongly acidic electrolyzed water is said to be equivalent ($P < 0.05$) to treatment with NaOCl solution and tap water (Koseki & Itoh, 2001).

Similar to previous studies, the experimental results show that the reduction of ascorbic acid content for the mildly heated SlAEW treatment, compared with TW and mildly heated TW treatment, was as low as 6.6 and 1.7%, respectively, and there was no significant difference between untreated and treated samples. Thus, mildly heated SlAEW treatment did not cause a significant additional decrease in ascorbic acid content, similar to other types of electrolyzed water.

For β-carotene content, there was no significant difference between the untreated and treated samples. Compared with untreated samples, the β-carotene contents for TW, mildly heated TW, SlAEW and mildly heated SlAEW treatment, were reduced by 8.3, 11.3, 11.3 and 13.6%, respectively. Results also indicated that the reduction in β-carotene content for mildly heated...
heated SIAEW treatment compared with TW and mildly heated TW treatment were as low as 5.8 and 2.6%, respectively. This trend is similar to that described in a previous report (Koseki & Itoh, 2001) in which β-carotene content in thin strips of carrot 2-3 mm in width immersed in AEW (42.3 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 2.5) for 10 min was reduced by 30%, but there was no significant difference between NaOCl solution (150 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 9.3) and tap water (0.3 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 7.0). However, changes in the surface color of carrot samples were unremarkable as stated above. There are two possible reasons for this trend: first, because the color measurement was conducted immediately after disinfection, while β-carotene content were analyzed a few minutes after disinfection, so the content may have been more than the amount recorded at that time; second, due to the existence of other pigments such as carotenoids (α-carotene and lycopene, etc.) and xanthophylls in carrots (Koch, & Goldman, 2005). The measured β-carotene content, therefore, might not exactly correlate with the color values, and further study is required to investigate changes in β-carotene and other pigments immediately after immersion in SIAEW. The mechanism of decomposition of β-carotene, influenced by hypochlorous acid (HOCl) in SIAEW and temperature, is not clear but β-carotene is mainly associated with membrane protein complexes in the chloroplast or the chromoplast (Kalt, 2005). Thus, it can be said that thickness and contact area of the sample are good nutrient retention indicators during disinfection treatments.

Results of physicochemical property measurements on retention of color, hardness, ascorbic acid content and β-carotene content show that samples treated with mildly heated SIAEW would be suitable for the market. However, physicochemical data were taken only on day 0. The optimum disinfection time and the effects of concentration of available chlorine and temperature of the SIAEW on microbial load and quality during storage is not known and warrants further studies.
In conclusion, mildly heated slightly acidic electrolyzed water at 45°C (23 mg/L available chlorine, pH at 5.5) was found to be effective disinfectant and maintenance method for fresh sliced carrot. The method can be adopted commercially to ensure the safety of consumers and the environment, high product quality and low disinfection costs.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Ms. Ai Kato and Ms. Moe Ito for their technical assistance during the experiments.

References


du Toit, L.J., & Hernandez-Perez, P. (2005). Efficacy of hot water and chlorine for eradication of Cladosporium variabile, Stemphylium botryosum, and Verticillium dahliae from...


against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* on Lettuce. *Food Microbiology*, 21, 559-566.


Table 1  Microbial populations of total aerobic bacteria, and molds and yeasts of sliced carrots treated for 10 min with tap water (TW) at 18°C, mildly heated TW at 45°C, slightly acidic electrolyzed water (SlAEW) at 18°C and mildly heated SlAEW at 45°C, followed by immersion in TW at 4°C for 2 min.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Temperature °C</th>
<th>Total aerobic bacteria ( \log_{10} \text{CFU/g} )</th>
<th>Molds and yeasts ( \log_{10} \text{CFU/g} )</th>
<th>Presence ratio of molds A %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.5±0.7 a^B</td>
<td>3.2±0.7 a</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.6±0.7 b</td>
<td>2.3±0.7 b</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SlAEW</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.9±0.4 b</td>
<td>2.6±0.5 ab</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SlAEW</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.3±0.3 c</td>
<td>&lt;1.3 c</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Average presence ratio of molds for all samples in PDA plates for the enumeration of molds and yeasts.

B Mean values ± standard deviation. Values followed by different letters in the same row indicate significant differences.
Table 2  Changes in surface color of carrot samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
<th>Hue</th>
<th>Chroma</th>
<th>Total color difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>untreated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>58.5±1.4 abA</td>
<td>27.5±2.6 a</td>
<td>46.2±2.7 c</td>
<td>59.1±1.5 a</td>
<td>54.1±3.6 a</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57.9±1.6 b</td>
<td>27.9±2.3 a</td>
<td>48.6±1.7 ab</td>
<td>60.3±1.9 a</td>
<td>56.5±1.9 a</td>
<td>4.0±0.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>59.9±0.9 a</td>
<td>28.6±1.9 a</td>
<td>48.8±2.2 a</td>
<td>59.8±0.9 a</td>
<td>56.7±2.7 a</td>
<td>4.0±1.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIAEW</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>59.9±1.2 a</td>
<td>27.3±0.9 a</td>
<td>46.8±1.1 bc</td>
<td>59.8±0.9 a</td>
<td>54.1±1.2 a</td>
<td>2.1±0.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIAEW</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>58.4±1.4 ab</td>
<td>28.3±1.9 a</td>
<td>47.7±1.2 abc</td>
<td>59.4±1.4 a</td>
<td>55.5±1.8 a</td>
<td>2.7±1.1 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Mean values ± standard deviation. Values followed by different letters in the same row indicate significant differences.
Table 3  Changes in hardness, ascorbic acid content and β-carotene content of carrot samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Hardness (kg)</th>
<th>Ascorbic acid content (mg/100g-FW&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>β-carotene content (mg/100g-FW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>untreated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.1±0.2 a&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.9±3.6 a</td>
<td>3.01±0.46 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.0±0.2 a</td>
<td>12.2±4.0 a</td>
<td>2.76±0.81 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.0±0.1 a</td>
<td>11.6±1.5 a</td>
<td>2.67±0.34 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLAEW</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.0±0.2 a</td>
<td>12.4±2.7 a</td>
<td>2.67±1.05 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLAEW</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.0±0.2 a</td>
<td>11.4±2.2 a</td>
<td>2.60±0.46 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>A</sup> FW means fresh weight.

<sup>B</sup> Mean values ± standard deviation. Values followed by different letters in the same row indicate significant differences.
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