

On Prescribing Curvature of Contact 3-Manifolds *

Gen-ichi OSHIKIRI **
(Received January 8, 2013)

Abstract

Recently, V. Krouglov studied prescribing curvatures of contact closed 3-manifolds (M, ω) , and proved, among others, that if $\text{Ker } \omega$ is trivial then for any smooth function on M there is a Riemannian metric of M so that the sectional curvature of $\text{Ker } \omega$ coincides with the given function. In this paper, we replace this triviality condition of $\text{Ker } \omega$ to curvature conditions, and show similar results.

Keywords: contact structure, prescribing curvature, k -admissible metric.

1 Introduction

Let (M, ω) be a contact closed 3-manifold. Set $\zeta = \text{Ker } \omega$. Recently, V. Krouglov [4] studied prescribing curvatures of contact 3-manifolds, and proved that if ζ is trivial then for any smooth function f on M there is a Riemannian metric of M so that the sectional curvature $K(\zeta) = f$. In this paper, we change this triviality condition of ζ to curvature conditions, that is, we show that, if there is a suitable metric, then for any smooth function on M there is a Riemannian metric of M so that the sectional curvature of ζ coincides with the given function. Though V. Krouglov began his argument with an arbitrary metric of M , we shall begin the argument with a kind of adapted metrics introduced by S. Chern and R. Hamilton [2].

We shall give preliminaries and auxiliary results in §2, and present and prove main results in §3. In §4, we give some examples.

2 Preliminaries and auxiliary results

In this paper, we work in the C^∞ -category. In what follows, we always assume that a contact structure is given by a one-form ω , and that the ambient manifold M is closed, connected, oriented and of dimension 3, unless otherwise stated (see [1], [3], [5] for the generalities on contact structures).

* 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 53C12.

** Faculty of Education, Iwate University

Let $\zeta = \text{Ker } \omega$, and assume that $\omega \wedge d\omega > 0$ on M . We can find a Riemannian metric g of M satisfying the following: Let ξ be a unit vector field orthogonal to ζ with $\omega(\xi) = 1$, $i_{\xi}d\omega = 0$ and $\omega \wedge d\omega = kdV(M, g)$, where k is a positive constant and $dV(M, g)$ is the volume element of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) . We call such a metric k -adapted for ω . Note that in case $k = 2$, that is, $\omega \wedge d\omega = 2dV(M, g)$, g is called adapted in [3]. Thus, if we give an oriented local orthonormal frame $\{\xi, E, F\}$ with $E, F \in \Gamma(\zeta)$, then we have $\omega \wedge d\omega = kdV(M, g) = k \xi^* \wedge E^* \wedge F^*$, where $V^* = g(V, \cdot)$. By definition, $d\omega/k$ is the volume element along ζ . It follows that ζ is minimal in the sense that

$$\langle \nabla_{\xi} \xi, E \rangle + \langle \nabla_F \xi, F \rangle = 0,$$

where ∇ is the Riemannian connection of (M, g) .

We locally represent covariant derivatives explicitly (see [6] for details). Let U be an open subset of M .

Proposition 1. Let $\{\xi, E, F\}$ be an oriented orthonormal frame on U . As $\nabla_{\xi} \xi \perp E, F$, we can set $\nabla_{\xi} \xi = \rho F$ for a smooth function ρ on U . Set also $\langle \nabla_E \xi, E \rangle = \lambda$ and $\langle \nabla_E \xi, F \rangle = \alpha$. Then we have

- (0) $\langle [E, F], \xi \rangle = -k$.
- (1) $\nabla_{\xi} \xi = \rho F$, $\nabla_E E = \rho F$, $\nabla_F F = -\rho E$.
- (2) $\nabla_E \xi = -\lambda E - \alpha F$, $\nabla_E E = -\text{div}(F)F + \lambda N$, $\nabla_E F = \text{div}(F)E + \alpha N$.
- (3) $\nabla_F \xi = -(k + \alpha)E + \lambda F$, $\nabla_F E = \text{div}(E)F + (k + \alpha)\xi$, $\nabla_F F = -\text{div}(E)E - \lambda N$.

Define the second fundamental form B of ζ by

$$B(V, W) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla_V W + \nabla_W V, \xi \rangle$$

for all sections V, W of ζ (cf. [4], [7]). This is a symmetric bilinear form on ζ . As ζ is minimal, it follows that $\text{Tr } B = 0$. Define also the *extrinsic curvature* $K_e(\zeta)$ by

$$K_e(\zeta) = \frac{B(V, V)B(W, W) - B(V, W)^2}{\langle V, V \rangle \langle W, W \rangle - \langle V, W \rangle^2},$$

where V, W are two linearly independent sections of ζ (cf. [4]). Note that $K_e(\zeta) \leq 0$ because $\text{Tr } B = B(E, E) + B(F, F) = 0$ for an oriented orthonormal basis $\{E, F\}$ of ζ . By using Proposition 1, we have the following (see [6] for details).

Proposition 2. Let $\{\xi, E, F\}, U, B$ be as above. At $p \in U$, $B_p = 0$ if and only if $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi)_p = k^2/2$. In particular, $B = 0$ on U if and only if ξ is a Killing vector field on U . In this case, we have $\lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = -k/2$.

3 Main results and proofs

Our main results are the following.

Theorem 1. Let ω be a contact structure on an oriented, connected, and closed 3-manifold M . Set $\xi = \text{Ker } \omega$. If there is a k -adapted Riemannian metric for ω satisfying $K_M > -3k^2/4$, where K_M is the sectional curvature of (M, g) , then, for any smooth function f on M , there is a Riemannian metric of M so that $K(\xi) = f$.

Theorem 2. Let ω be a contact structure on an oriented, connected, and closed 3-manifold M . Set $\xi = \text{Ker } \omega$. If there is a k -adapted Riemannian metric for ω satisfying $\text{Ric}_M < k^2/2$, then for any smooth function f on M there is a Riemannian metric of M so that $K(\xi) = f$. In particular, if there is a k -adapted Riemannian metric for ω satisfying $K_M < k^2/4$, then for any smooth function f on M there is a Riemannian metric of M so that $K(\xi) = f$.

Note that by Proposition 2, we always have $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi) \leq k^2/2$. Note also that as argued in §7.2 in [1], k -admissible metrics cannot be of strictly negative curvature.

We prove these results by the same way as V. Krouglov [4]. The difference is that, instead of the triviality of ξ , we assume the existence of a k -adapted Riemannian metric g for ω having the property $K(\xi) > -3k^2/4$ or $\text{Ric}(\xi, \xi) < k^2/2$.

Let (M, ω, g) be as above and $U \subset M$ be an open set. Let N be the unit vector field orthogonal to $\xi = \text{Ker } \omega$ with $\omega(N) = 1$, $\iota_N d\omega = 0$, $\omega \wedge d\omega = kdV(M, g)$ and $\{N, E, F\}$ be an oriented local orthonormal frame with $E, F \in \Gamma(\xi)$ on U . Let φ be a positive smooth function on M . Define a new metric \bar{g} of M by

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} g|_{\xi^\perp} \oplus g|_{\xi}.$$

By definition, $\bar{N} = \varphi N$ is the unit vector field orthogonal to ξ with respect to this metric \bar{g} , and $\{\bar{N}, E, F\}$ is an oriented local orthonormal frame with respect to \bar{g} . Set $g(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle$ and $\bar{g}(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\bar{g}}$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Recall the Koszul formula for the Riemannian connection ∇ of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$:

$$2 \langle \nabla_S T, U \rangle = S \langle T, U \rangle + T \langle U, S \rangle - U \langle S, T \rangle + \langle [S, T], U \rangle - \langle [S, U], T \rangle - \langle [T, U], S \rangle$$

for $S, T, U \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Using this formula, we get the following by simple calculations:

- (1) $\bar{\nabla}_F \bar{N} = \langle \nabla_F N, E \rangle E + \varphi \langle \nabla_F N, N \rangle \bar{N}$,
- (2) $\bar{\nabla}_E \bar{N} = \langle \nabla_E N, E \rangle E + (\varphi B(E, F) - k/(2\varphi)) \bar{N}$,
- (3) $\bar{\nabla}_E \bar{N} = (k/(2\varphi) - \varphi B(E, F))F - \varphi \langle \nabla_E N, N \rangle \bar{N}$,
- (4) $\bar{\nabla}_F \bar{N} = -(k/(2\varphi) + \varphi B(E, F))E - \varphi \langle \nabla_F N, N \rangle \bar{N}$,

$$(5) \bar{\nabla}_F E = \langle \nabla_F E, F \rangle F + (\varphi B(E, F) + k(2\varphi)) \bar{},$$

$$(6) \bar{\nabla}^- F = -\varphi \langle \nabla^- E, F \rangle E + k(\varphi - 1/\varphi)E/2 - F(\log \varphi) \bar{}.$$

Using these formulas in this order, we have the following.

Proposition 3. For the sectional curvature $\bar{K}(\xi)$ along ξ with respect to \bar{g} we have

$$\bar{K}(\xi) = K(\xi) - \varphi^2 K_c(\xi) + B(E, E)B(F, F) - \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle + \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{3k^2}{4\varphi^2}.$$

Proof. Let $\{, E, F\}$ be an oriented orthonormal frame with respect to g and $\{\bar{}, E, F\}$ be an oriented orthonormal frame with respect to \bar{g} on U . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(\xi) &= \bar{K}(E, F) = \langle \bar{R}(E, F)F, E \rangle_{\bar{}} \\ &= \langle \bar{\nabla}_E \bar{\nabla}_F F, E \rangle_{\bar{}} - \langle \bar{\nabla}_F \bar{\nabla}_E F, E \rangle_{\bar{}} - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{[E, F]} F, E \rangle_{\bar{}} \\ &= \langle \bar{\nabla}_E (\langle \nabla_F F, E \rangle E + \varphi \langle \nabla_F F, \bar{} \rangle), E \rangle_{\bar{}} \\ &\quad - \langle \bar{\nabla}_F (\langle \nabla_E F, E \rangle E + (\varphi B(E, F) - \frac{k}{2\varphi}) \bar{}), E \rangle_{\bar{}} \\ &\quad - \langle \bar{\nabla}_E F, E \rangle_{\bar{}} \langle \bar{\nabla}_E F, E \rangle_{\bar{}} + \langle \bar{\nabla}_F E, F \rangle_{\bar{}} \langle \bar{\nabla}_F F, E \rangle_{\bar{}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \langle [E, F], \bar{} \rangle \langle \bar{\nabla}^- F, E \rangle_{\bar{}} \\ &= E \langle \nabla_F F, E \rangle - \varphi^2 \langle \nabla_F F, \bar{} \rangle \langle \nabla_E E, \bar{} \rangle \\ &\quad - F \langle \nabla_E F, E \rangle + (\varphi B(E, F) - \frac{k}{2\varphi}) (\varphi B(E, F) + \frac{k}{2\varphi}) \\ &\quad - \langle \nabla_E E, F \rangle^2 - \langle \nabla_F F, E \rangle^2 + \frac{k}{\varphi} (-\varphi \langle \nabla^- E, F \rangle) + \frac{k}{2} (\varphi - \frac{1}{\varphi}) \\ &= K(\xi) - \varphi^2 K_c(\xi) + B(E, E)B(F, F) - \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle + \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{3k^2}{4\varphi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let g be a k -adapted Riemannian metric with the property $K(\xi) + 3k^2/4 > 0$ and f be an arbitrary smooth function on M . We can choose a small positive constant C so that $K(\xi) + 3k^2/4 - Cf > 0$ on M , because M is compact. Let $\{, E, F\}$ be an oriented orthonormal frame of $U \subset M$. Then, for the metric $\bar{g} = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} g|_{\xi} \oplus g|_{\xi^\perp}$ we have

$$\bar{K}(\xi) = K(\xi) - \varphi^2 K_c(\xi) + B(E, E)B(F, F) - \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle + \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{3k^2}{4\varphi^2}$$

by Proposition 3. It is easy to see that the right hand side formula is independent of the choices of oriented orthonormal frames on U . Thus, the following equation on φ is globally defined one over M :

$$Cf = K(\xi) - \varphi^2 K_c(\xi) + B(E, E)B(F, F) - \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle + \frac{k^2}{2} - \frac{3k^2}{4\varphi^2}.$$

If we could get a positive solution φ of this equation, we would have proved our Theorem.

Set $t = 1/\varphi^2$ and at each point $x \in M$ consider the quadratic equation on t :

$$\frac{3k^2}{4} t^2 + \left(Cf - K(\xi) - B(E, E) B(F, F) + \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle - \frac{k^2}{2} \right) t + K_e(\xi) = 0.$$

Note that, as $K_e(\xi) \leq 0$, the discriminant D of this equation satisfies

$$D = \left(Cf - K(\xi) - B(E, E) B(F, F) + \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle - \frac{k^2}{2} \right)^2 - 3k^2 K_e(\xi) \geq 0.$$

It follows that this equation has a non-negative solution at each point $x \in M$. We have to show that this solution is positive and smooth on M . To see this, consider the case $K_e(\xi) = 0$. Note that the solution is positive and smooth at the points $x \in M$ with $K_e(\xi)(x) < 0$. In case $K_e(\xi) = 0$, by Proposition 2, we have $\lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = -k/2$, that is, the coefficient of t becomes

$$Cf - K(\xi) - B(E, E) B(F, F) + \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle - \frac{k^2}{2} = Cf - K(\xi) - \frac{3k^2}{4} < 0,$$

which shows that the solution is positive and smooth, too. By a conformal change of this metric using C , we get the desired metric \tilde{g} with $\tilde{K}(\xi) = f$ and this completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. From the above proof, it is easy to see that if $K_e(\xi) < 0$ then we get the same result as in Theorem 1. As $K_e(\xi) \leq 0$, it is sufficient to show that $K_e(\xi) \neq 0$.

By Proposition 2, we have, at $p \in M$

$$K_e(\xi)_p = 0 \Leftrightarrow B_p = 0 \Leftrightarrow Ric(\cdot, \cdot)_p = \frac{k^2}{2}.$$

By the assumption that $Ric_M < k^2/2$, we have $K_e(\xi) < 0$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4 Examples

To apply our theorem, we have just to note that $K(\xi) + 3k^2/4 - Cf > 0$.

The first example is due to [5]. Let (\mathbf{R}^3, g_0) be the 3-dimensional Euclidean space with the canonical coordinate (x, y, z) . Define $\omega = \sin z dx + \cos z dy$. Then, it follows that

$$d\omega = \cos z dz \wedge dx - \sin z dz \wedge dy, \text{ and } \omega \wedge d\omega = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = dV(\mathbf{R}^3, g_0).$$

This is 1-adapted. Define $\bar{\xi} = \sin z \partial_x + \cos z \partial_y$, $E = \partial_z$ and $F = \cos z \partial_x - \sin z \partial_y$. Then, it is easy to see that $\{\bar{\xi}, E, F\}$ is an oriented orthonormal frame on \mathbf{R}^3 . We get covariant derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\bar{\xi}} &= 0, \nabla_E E = 0, \nabla_F F = 0, \\ \nabla_E &= \cos z \partial_x - \sin z \partial_y, \nabla_E E = 0, \nabla_E F = -\sin z \partial_x - \cos z \partial_y, \\ \nabla_F &= 0, \nabla_F E = 0, \nabla_F F = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\rho = \langle \nabla_{\bar{\xi}} E, F \rangle = 0, \lambda = \langle \nabla_E E, \bar{\xi} \rangle = 0, \alpha = \langle \nabla_E F, \bar{\xi} \rangle = -1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}B(E, E) &= B(F, F) = 0, B(E, F) = B(F, E) = -1/2, \\ K(\bar{\xi}) &= 0, K_e(\bar{\xi}) = -1/4.\end{aligned}$$

For an arbitrary smooth function f on \mathbf{R}^3 , the equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}f &= K(\bar{\xi}) - \varphi^2 K_e(\bar{\xi}) + B(E, E) B(F, F) - \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4\varphi^2} \\ &= \frac{\varphi^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4\varphi^2},\end{aligned}$$

whose positive solution is

$$\varphi = \sqrt{2f - 1 + \sqrt{(2f - 1)^2 + 3}}.$$

Thus, if we want to get $\bar{K}(\bar{\xi}) = 1$ then take $\varphi = \sqrt{3}$, and if we want to get $\bar{K}(\bar{\xi}) = -1$ then take $\varphi = \sqrt{2\sqrt{3} - 3}$. Note that on the flat torus T^3 , we get the same conclusion because the above quantities are well defined on T^3 .

The second one is due to [2]. Let (S^3, g_1) be the unit sphere in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^4 with the canonical coordinate (x, y, z, w) . Define a 1-form ω by

$$\omega = xdy - ydx + zdw - wdz.$$

It is easy to see that $\omega \wedge d\omega = 2dV(S^3, g_1)$, thus $k = 2$. Set $\bar{\xi} = x\partial_y - y\partial_x + z\partial_w - w\partial_z$, $E = x\partial_z - z\partial_x - y\partial_w + w\partial_y$ and $F = x\partial_w - w\partial_x - y\partial_z - z\partial_y$. Then $\{\bar{\xi}, E, F\}$ is an oriented orthonormal frame of S^3 with $\omega(\bar{\xi}) = 1$ and $E, F \in \text{Ker } \omega$. We get covariant derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\bar{\xi}} &= 0, \nabla_E E = -F, \nabla_F F = E, \\ \nabla_E E &= 0, \nabla_E F = -\bar{\xi}, \nabla_E \bar{\xi} = F, \\ \nabla_F E &= \bar{\xi}, \nabla_F F = 0, \nabla_F \bar{\xi} = -E.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\rho = \langle \nabla_{\bar{\xi}} E, F \rangle = -1, \lambda = \langle \nabla_E E, \bar{\xi} \rangle = 0, \alpha = \langle \nabla_E F, \bar{\xi} \rangle = -1,$$

and

$$B(E, E) = B(F, F) = B(E, F) = B(F, E) = 0.$$

Note that $\text{Ker } \omega$ is non-trivial. For a smooth function f on S^3 and positive constant C with $Cf < 4$, the equation becomes

On Prescribing Curvature of Contact 3-Manifolds

$$\begin{aligned}
 Cf &= K(\xi) - \varphi 2K_c(\xi) + B(E, E) B(F, F) - \langle \nabla_E F, \nabla_F E \rangle + \frac{2^2}{2} - \frac{3 \cdot 2^2}{4\varphi^2} \\
 &= 1 - 0 + 0 + 1 + 2 - \frac{3}{\varphi^2} \\
 &= 4 - \frac{3}{\varphi^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

whose positive solution is

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4 - Cf}}.$$

Thus, if we want to get $\bar{K}(\xi) = 0$ then take $\varphi = \sqrt{3/4}$, and if we want to get $\bar{K}(\xi) = -1$ then take $\varphi = \sqrt{3/5}$.

References

1. D. Blair, Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Progress in Math. 203 (2002), Birkhäuser, Boston.
2. S. Chern and R. Hamilton, On Riemannian metrics adapted to Three-dimensional contact manifolds, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1111 (1985), Springer, Berlin, 279–308.
3. J. Etnyre, Introductory lectures on contact geometry, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 71 (2003), 81–107.
4. V. Krouglov, The curvature of contact structures on 3-manifolds, Alg. and Geom. Topology 8 (2008), 1567–1579.
5. Z. Olszak, On contact metric manifolds, Tohoku Math. Journ. 31 (1979), 247–253.
6. G. Oshikiri, On curvatures of k -adapted metrics to contact 3-manifolds, Ann. Rep. Fac. Educ. Iwate Univ. 70 (2010), 81–87.
7. B. Reinhart, The second fundamental form of a plane field, Journ. of Diff. Geom. 12 (1977), 619–627.